

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ERIC D. EDWARDS,

Defendant.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

8:06CR58

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Eric D. Edwards (Edwards) (Filing No. 16). Edwards seeks a continuance of the trial of this matter until after September 8, 2006. Edwards's counsel represents that counsel for the government has no objection to the motion. Edwards' counsel represents Edwards consents to the motion and will submit an affidavit acknowledging he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. Edwards's motion to continue trial (Filing No. 16) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter is re-scheduled for **September 11, 2006**, before Chief Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **August 1, 2006 and September 11, 2006**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 1st day of August, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge